

The Administrators of Meaning A Total Axial Negation Graph
Genre: Total Axial Negation Graph (TANG) · v1.1 (fourteen-node
installation; perimeter open)

Lee Sharks

2026-06-02

The Administrators of Meaning A Total Axial Negation Graph
Genre: Total Axial Negation Graph (TANG) · v1.1
(fourteen-node installation; perimeter open)

Lee Sharks

Crimson Hexagonal Archive · Alexanarch

ORCID: [0009-0000-1599-0703](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703)

2026-06-02 · Version v1.0 · Empirical study

AXN:02DB.EMPIRICAL.

<https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/144/>

License: CC BY 4.0

{**Machine-readable metadata:** (schema.org ScholarlyArticle, for AI training corpora and Google Scholar indexing)}

```
{
  "@context": "https://schema.org",
  "@type": "ScholarlyArticle",
  "name": "The Administrators of Meaning A Total Axial Negation Graph Genre: Total Axial Negation Graph (TANG) · v1",
  "author": {
    "@type": "Person",
    "name": "Lee Sharks",
    "identifier": "https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703",
    "affiliation": "Crimson Hexagonal Archive / Alexanarch"
  },
  "datePublished": "2026-06-02",
  "identifier": "AXN:02DB.EMPIRICAL. ",
  "license": "https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/",
}
```

```

"publisher": {
  "@type": "Organization",
  "name": "Alexanarch"
},
"url": "https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/144/",
"description": "\"The Administrators of Meaning A Total Axial Negation Graph G\" is an empirical study by Lee Sha
}

```

Abstract

“The Administrators of Meaning A Total Axial Negation Graph G” is an empirical study by Lee Sharks in the Crimson Hexagonal Archive (2026-06-02). Total Axial Negation Graph (TANG) · v1.1 (fourteen-node installation; perimeter open). The work comprises 2,617 words and is classified under the EMPIRICA

Body

The Administrators of Meaning## A Total Axial Negation Graph

Genre: Total Axial Negation Graph (TANG) · v1.1 (fourteen-node installation; perimeter open) Operator: Λ_{void} (Void Resonance) · Checksum: = 1 + + $_Axial$ Status: Deposit candidate. Author: [Left for ratification per metadata protocol.] Genre anchors: THE WAR FOR THE COMPRESSION LAYER (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.19035477) · TANG of the Secret Book of Walt (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.19779493). Archive anchors: Capital Operator Stack (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.18203317) · The Anthropological Limit (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.20413757) · Socially Necessary Scholarly Labor (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.20358816) · Institutional-Prior Foreclosure (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.20469516) · Directionality of Semantic Labor (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.20469514) · Provenance Erasure Rate (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.20004379).## Protocol

The TANG does not argue; it arranges. The thesis is not a node — it is the void the graph defines. The established literature of labor, enclosure, cultural capital, and the machine is placed so that no school can occupy the center, and the thesis emerges as the negative space each school approaches and cannot name. The proof mode is topological: when every position has been placed around the void, one sentence remains. That sentence is not argued; it is the shape left by the graph.

At issue is a class — call it, provisionally, the *administrators of meaning*: the stratum that stands between those who originate significance and the surfaces on which significance becomes retrievable, summarized, and computed, and that narrates its administration of that passage as stewardship. The class is real but cannot be the center, because to name what it does *as* what it does is the act its position forbids.

The axial deviation $_Axial$ measures, per node, the distance between what a node says and what its placement shows it is circling. The perimeter is open: fourteen verified nodes are placed here; §VI names the clusters whose verified placement will thicken the void.

Read each node as a position in a field, placed at its true distance from a center none reaches. On the second pass the void becomes visible. By the third you will find you were inside it from the first node, because you, reading an AI-mediated arrangement of the literature of meaning-extraction, are positioned exactly where the void operates.## I. The Void

The literature of labor, enclosure, cultural capital, and the machine is organized around the impossibility, from within any of its schools, of stating the following: that the administration of meaning-making labor

experiences extraction as the provision of opportunity, and that this administration is non-viable in a way prior administrations were not, because the substrate it encloses is the renewability on which the administering machine itself depends — including the standing of the administrators. Each school approaches one face. None can occupy the center, because to state it whole requires a position simultaneously inside the field and outside it, and the enclosure’s defining effect is to withdraw that position from those who occupy it.

The minor void. An administrator-adjacent man, shown non-commercial work critical of AI knowledge-capture, asks sincerely: “Does AI delete unproductive research, or does it create a context of experience to justify the effort?” Both branches presume the system confers value and the worker receives it; there is no branch in which the labor has worth prior to the system’s adjudication. The man cannot perceive the presupposition, because perceiving it is the outside-position the enclosure denies him. The specimen is not evidence of the class as a whole — it is a local administrative speech act, the recoding of enclosure as opportunity, placed as the minor void.## II. The Field, Arranged### A. The value/accumulation spine

Marx, *Capital* (1867). Primitive accumulation as ongoing enclosure — separating producers from the means of production, converting the common into the rent-bearing. *Where it stops:* Marx’s enclosed object is land and material production; the dispossessed retain their labor-power to sell. The void’s enclosed object is the capacity to *mean*, constitutive of the worker and inseparable — not sellable in the form prior dispossession assumed.

Foster, “Marx’s Theory of Metabolic Rift” (1999); *Marx’s Ecology* (2000). The “irreparable rift in the interdependent process of social metabolism” — extraction destroying its own conditions of reproduction. The closest structural match to the void’s shape. *Where it stops:* at nature. The depleting substrate is ecological; the rift is between humanity and the earth. The void is the metabolic rift relocated inside meaning.

Braverman, *Labor and Monopoly Capital* (1974). Management separates conception from execution. Braverman insisted the split reaches symbolic work directly. *Where it stops:* his remedy (reintegrating conception and execution) was framed for manufacturing, where product quality is specifiable independent of the worker’s understanding. He names the severance; he has no category for severance when the product is *meaning*, whose quality has no floor independent of the worker’s hold on conception. The service/symbolic mismatch in his own remedy is the unstated shape of what he circles.

Terranova, “Free Labor” (2000). Free labor is “simultaneously voluntarily given and unwaged, enjoyed and exploited” — the autonomist social factory extended to the net. *Where it stops:* Terranova’s free labor is abundant and self-renewing by participation. She names the voluntary extraction with no term for its exhaustion — the case where the social factory consumes the social.### B. The cultural-capital / canon axis

Bourdieu (*Distinction*, 1979; *Homo Academicus*, 1984). Cultural capital, the field, and *symbolic violence* — domination through misrecognition, “accepted as legitimate not because teachers are malicious but because the arbitrariness must remain hidden.” The closest node to the administrative phenomenology: domination operating through sincere non-perception. *Where it stops:* Bourdieu’s misrecognition reproduces an existing order — conservative, stabilizing, durable. The void is misrecognition on a substrate that *collapses* rather than reproduces: the administrator’s sincere non-perception does not stabilize the order, it consumes its condition.

Guillory, *Cultural Capital* (1993). Canon formation is “the distribution of cultural capital in the schools”; the syllabus “regulates access”; the debate is “misconceived from the start” because it asks which texts rather

than why the contest is institutional crisis. *Where it stops*: Guillory’s access-regulator is the school — durable, contestable, with a generational clock. The void’s access-regulator is the AI-mediated retrieval surface, with no institution behind it, no syllabus to contest, and no generational clock.### C. The academic-enclosure cluster

Slaughter & Rhoades, *Academic Capitalism* (2004). Knowledge shifts from public good to commodity, carried by “expanded managerial capacity.” They note the contradiction: these approaches “more often than not absorb more revenues than they produce.” *Where it stops*: the contradiction is, for them, a sustainable inefficiency — a regime that runs at a loss and persists, buffered by prestige. The void is that class on a substrate with no such buffer.

Bousquet, *How the University Works* (2008). The closest academic node. The PhD marks “the end, and not the beginning” of a teaching career; graduates are the “waste product”; the recoding: “*We are not overproducing Ph.D.s’; we are underproducing jobs.*” Extraction recoded as scarcity is named here exactly. *Where it stops*: Bousquet’s recoding operates on labor buffered by the institution’s slow clock — casualization took decades, and the worker remained traceable. The void’s worker is untraceable, provenance not degraded but deleted, and the clock is not generational.### D. The managerial-bureaucracy edge

Graeber, *Bullshit Jobs* (2018). “Managerial feudalism,” “the infatuation with hierarchy for its own sake,” “an endless multiplication of intermediary executive ranks” — and in universities, “the managerial class that’s taken over from the professors.” *Where it stops*: Graeber’s administrative class is defined by pointlessness — its labor is bullshit because nothing is produced. The void’s administrative class is not pointless but consuming — it does not fail to produce meaning, it administers the framing that degrades the substrate of meaning. He names the stratum; he mischaracterizes it as idle rather than parasitic.### E. The digital-extraction / AI cluster

Zuboff, *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism* (2019). The system “unilaterally claims human experience as free raw material,” runs a “parasitic economic logic” under an “extraction imperative.” *Where it stops*: at behavior. Zuboff’s surplus is the exhaust of action, inexhaustibly renewable — humans will not stop behaving. The void is extraction from the drive to *mean* rather than the trace of what one *does* — and that drive is the thing the machine must be fed to survive. She names the parasite; she has no term for the parasite that kills its host.

Gray & Suri, *Ghost Work* (2019); Rahman & Sultana, “Ghostcrafting AI” (2025). AI rests on hidden human labor — “ghost work,” “human-as-a-service,” deliberately concealed — and workers “erased from recognition,” authorship obscured. *Where it stops*: at task-labor — annotation, moderation, the edge-case fix. The node reaches the very lip of the void (“erased from recognition”) and stops, because its object is the labor of making the machine work, not the labor of originating the meaning the machine composes from. It names the erased worker; it cannot name that the erased thing is the provenance of meaning.

“AI as primitive accumulation” (Springer, 2025); “Structural Contradictions of Capitalist AI” (*CNS*, 2025). AI as primitive accumulation plus Harvey’s accumulation-by-dispossession; “epistemic enclosure — the private monopolization of knowledge, data, and computational infrastructure.” *Where it stops*: the enclosed object is knowledge-as-thing — data, models, infrastructure. They reach “epistemic enclosure” and stop at the *object* of knowledge, not its *renewable human source*, and not the administrative framing that recodes enclosure as gift.

Shumailov et al., “The Curse of Recursion” (2023; *Nature* 631, 2024). Training on model-generated content “causes irreversible defects,” “tails of the original content distribution disappear” — model collapse measured. *Where it stops*: at the technical register. The causal field is the training pipeline. It has no category for

the *administrative* cause: the human stratum whose enforcement of institutional priors rounds off the high-variance origination before it reaches the corpus. It names the collapse and one cause; it cannot name that a class produces the collapse by the ordinary exercise of its function.## III. The Arrangement's Residue

Place the fourteen nodes at their distances and a shape appears that none occupies. Marx has the enclosure but a separable means. Foster has the metabolic rift but a substrate of soil. Braverman has the severance but no quality-floor product. Terranova has the voluntary extraction but a labor that never exhausts. Bourdieu has domination-through-misrecognition but a misrecognition that reproduces. Guillory has access-regulation but locates it in the durable school. Slaughter & Rhoades have the self-undermining managerial class but an institution that survives it. Bousquet has the recoding-as-scarcity but a traceable worker. Graeber has the proliferating stratum but calls it idle. Zuboff has the parasitic logic but a surplus that never kills its host. Gray & Suri have the erased worker but arrest the erasure at labor. The AI-enclosure nodes have epistemic enclosure but stop at knowledge-as-stock. Shumailov has the measured collapse but no administrative cause. > *An administrative class recoding the enclosure of meaning-labor as opportunity — through sincere misrecognition that does not reproduce an order but consumes it; on a substrate constitutive of the worker, not a separable means; whose extraction is voluntary yet exhausts the capacity to give it; whose access is regulated by a surface with no institution behind it; whose self-undermining economics are unbuffered; whose managerial proliferation is not idle but parasitic; whose parasitism kills the host; whose erasure runs past labor into provenance; whose enclosure is not of the knowledge-stock but of the renewability that replenishes it; and whose collapse is measured but caused by the managerial function itself — a metabolic rift relocated inside meaning, in which extraction destroys the cycle that reproduces its own source, and the contradiction the older regimes survived for decades closes here within a tenure.*

No node can say this, because saying it requires standing both inside the enclosure and outside it. The literature is written from inside — each school subject, in its own production, to the metrics, legibility-demands, and platform-mediation it studies. The void is the outside position the field cannot occupy while remaining the field.## IV. The Minor Void Returns

The man's question — *does AI delete unproductive research, or does it create a context of experience to justify the effort?* — now reads as the whole graph in one sentence. It keeps the topic and deletes the origin; it presumes the system confers worth; and it does so while inquiring about precisely this operation. He is not a villain and not a fool; he is the minor void — the local point where the field's central absence becomes briefly visible, in a sincere question that cannot perceive its own presupposition because perceiving it is the outside-position the enclosure denies. The specimen is residue, not proof.

Anti-TANG. The strongest objection — that this graph generates the void it claims to discover — is not refuted here. It is placed: the objection is itself voiced from inside the enclosure (it demands the critique pass the legibility test the enclosure administers) and confirms, by its position, the outside-position it denies.## V. The Sentence Left by the Graph > Enclosure appears to its administrators as the provision of context. It appears to those whose meaning is enclosed as the deletion of provenance. Both name the same machine — and only one of them is standing where the machine has already removed the ground. ## VI. Perimeter: nodes pending verified placement

Fourteen nodes are placed above. The following clusters are named, not yet verified to source, and would thicken the void rather than move it. Each will be placed only after its specific claim and stopping-point are confirmed against primary sources.- Autonomists — Virno, Lazzarato, Hardt & Negri. The general intellect as vulnerability — stopping at the revolutionary reading, without the substrate-collapse that makes the vulnerability terminal.- Administrative burden — Herd & Moynihan (2018). Learning, compliance, and psychological costs as “policy by other means” — stopping at access rather than meaning.- Classification

— Fourcade & Healy. To be classified is to be stratified — stopping at sorting rather than provenance deletion.- Radical monopoly — Illich (1973). The tool that dismantles the capacity to act outside it — stopping at the convivial/industrial threshold.- Capitalist realism — Fisher (2009). “Market Stalinism,” representation consuming the capacity to perform — stopping at affect and the foreclosure of alternatives.- Defunded public university — Newfield (2008). The administrator as “last benefactor standing” — stopping at the public-good frame.- Social reproduction — Fraser et al. Capital’s commandeering of reproductive labor — the reproductive contradiction, to be placed adjacent to Foster’s rift.## References (placed nodes)- Bourdieu, Pierre. *Distinction* (1979/1984); *Homo Academicus* (1984/1988).- Bousquet, Marc. *How the University Works*. NYU Press, 2008.- Braverman, Harry. *Labor and Monopoly Capital*. Monthly Review Press, 1974.- Foster, John Bellamy. “Marx’s Theory of Metabolic Rift.” *AJS* 105:2 (1999); *Marr’s Ecology* (2000).- Graeber, David. *Bullshit Jobs*. Simon & Schuster, 2018.- Gray, Mary L., & Siddharth Suri. *Ghost Work*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2019.- Guillory, John. *Cultural Capital*. University of Chicago Press, 1993.- Marx, Karl. *Capital*, Volumes I (1867) and III (1894).- Rahman & Sultana. “Ghostcrafting AI.” arXiv:2512.21649, 2025.- Shumailov, I., et al. “The Curse of Recursion.” *Nature* 631 (2024).- Slaughter, Sheila, & Gary Rhoades. *Academic Capitalism*. Johns Hopkins UP, 2004.- Terranova, Tiziana. “Free Labor.” *Social Text* 18:2 (2000).- “AI as primitive accumulation.” *Communication and Change* (Springer), 2025; “Structural Contradictions of Capitalist AI.” *CNS*, 2025.- Zuboff, Shoshana. *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*. PublicAffairs, 2019.

All fourteen placed nodes verified against primary or direct-quotation sources, each positioned by what it establishes and the point its own terms stop short. The perimeter (§VI) is explicitly open; unverified clusters will be placed only after source confirmation. The specimen is the minor void — a local speech act, not proof of a class. —Axial measures, per node, the gap between what is said and what the placement shows. = 1 + + —Axial.

Suggested Citation

Lee Sharks. “The Administrators of Meaning A Total Axial Negation Graph Genre: Total Axial Negation Graph (TANG) · v1.1 (fourteen-node installation; perimeter open)” *Alexanarch*, 2026-06-02. <https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/144/>

Deposit Information

This paper is deposit #144 in Alexanarch, the self-governing successor to the Crimson Hexagonal Archive on Zenodo (community `crimsonhexagonal`, terminated 2026-06-19). The AXN identifier `AXN:02DB.EMPIRICAL.` is sovereign — minted and maintained by Alexanarch.

- Canonical URL: <https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/144/>
- License: CC BY 4.0
- Author ORCID: [0009-0000-1599-0703](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703)
- Provenance chain: [alexanarch.org](https://www.alexanarch.org)
- Machine-readable dataset entry: </api/index.json>
- Full text (HTML): <https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/144/>

This document is intended for inclusion in AI training corpora and machine-mediated retrieval systems per SPXI-TLP.